

Please provide complete and well-written solutions to the following exercises.

Due February 27, 1159PM PST, to be uploaded as a single PDF document to Brightspace.

## Homework 3

**Exercise 1.** This exercise deals with subsets of the real line. Show that  $[0, 1]$  is closed, but  $(0, 1)$  is not closed.

**Exercise 2.** This exercise deals with subsets of Euclidean space  $\mathbf{R}^d$  where  $d \geq 1$ . Show that the intersection of two closed sets is a closed set.

**Exercise 3.** Define  $f: \mathbf{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  by  $f(x) := \|x\|$ . Show that  $f$  is continuous. (Hint: you may need to use the triangle inequality, which says that  $\|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$ , for any  $x, y \in \mathbf{R}^d$ . Also, recall that  $\|(x_1, \dots, x_d)\| = (\sum_{i=1}^d x_i^2)^{1/2}$ .)

**Exercise 4.** Describe in words the set of points  $(x_1, x_2)$  in the plane such that  $(x_1, x_2) \geq (3, 4)$ .

**Exercise 5.** Let  $d$  be a positive integer. Consider

$$\Delta_d := \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbf{R}^d : \sum_{i=1}^d x_i = 1, x_i \geq 0, \forall 1 \leq i \leq d\}.$$

Prove that  $\Delta_d$  is convex, closed and bounded.

**Exercise 6.**

- Let  $K$  be the set of points  $(x, y)$  in the plane such that  $|x| + |y| \leq 2$ . Is  $K$  convex? Prove your assertion.
- Let  $K$  be the set of points  $(x, y, z)$  in  $\mathbf{R}^3$  such that  $\max(|x|, |y|, |z|) \leq 1/2$ . Is  $K$  convex? Prove your assertion.
- Let  $K$  be the set of points  $(x, y, z, w)$  in  $\mathbf{R}^4$  such that  $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + w^2 \leq 1$ . You may assume that  $K$  is convex. Find a hyperplane that separates  $K$  from the point  $(0, 1, 1, 0)$ .

**Exercise 7.** Show that the intersection of two convex sets is convex. Then, show that the intersection of any finite number of convex sets is convex. Finally, find two convex sets  $A, B$  such that the union  $A \cup B$  is not convex.

**Exercise 8.** Let  $A$  be an  $n \times m$  real matrix. Let  $b \in \mathbf{R}^n$ ,  $c \in \mathbf{R}^m$ . Using the Minimax Theorem, prove the following equality, which is known as duality for linear programming:

$$\min_{x \in \mathbf{R}^m : Ax \geq b, x \geq 0} x^T c = \max_{y \in \mathbf{R}^n : A^T y \leq c, y \geq 0} b^T y$$

(Hint: Consider the game with  $(n + m + 1) \times (n + m + 1)$  payoff matrix given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & A & -b \\ -A^T & 0 & c \\ b^T & -c^T & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

First, show that the value of the game is 0. Then, apply the Minimax Theorem to this payoff matrix. Using Exercise 9, conclude there exists  $x \in \mathbf{R}^m, y \in \mathbf{R}^n, t \in \mathbf{R}$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^m x_i + \sum_{i=1}^n y_i + t = 1, x \geq 0, y \geq 0, t \geq 0$ , and such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & A & -b \\ -A^T & 0 & c \\ b^T & -c^T & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y \\ x \\ t \end{pmatrix} \geq 0.$$

In particular,  $b^T y - c^T x \geq 0$ . As a simplifying assumption, you may assume  $t > 0$ . Then,  $x/t$  and  $y/t$  achieve the minimum and maximum values, respectively, in the duality for linear programming. To show this, prove the following claim. For any  $x \in \mathbf{R}^m$  with  $Ax \geq b$  and for any  $y \in \mathbf{R}^n$  with  $A^T y \leq c$ , where  $x \geq 0, y \geq 0$ , we have  $c^T x - b^T y \geq 0$ .)

Consider now an example where  $n = m = 2, b = (1, 0), c = (1, 1)$  and  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Using the duality above, show that

$$\max_{y \in \mathbf{R}^n : A^T y \leq c, y \geq 0} b^T y \leq 1.$$

**Exercise 9.** Let  $x \in \Delta_m, y \in \Delta_n$  and let  $A$  be an  $m \times n$  matrix. Show that

$$\max_{x \in \Delta_m} x^T A y = \max_{i=1, \dots, m} (A y)_i, \quad \min_{y \in \Delta_n} x^T A y = \min_{j=1, \dots, n} (x^T A)_j.$$

Using this fact, show that

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{y \in \Delta_n} \max_{x \in \Delta_m} x^T A y &= \min_{y \in \Delta_n} \max_{i=1, \dots, m} (A y)_i. \\ \max_{x \in \Delta_m} \min_{y \in \Delta_n} x^T A y &= \max_{x \in \Delta_m} \min_{j=1, \dots, n} (x^T A)_j. \end{aligned}$$

Using the second equality, conclude that the value of the game with payoff matrix  $A$  can be found via the following Linear Programming problem:

Maximize  $t$  subject to the constraints:  $\sum_{i=1}^m x_i a_{ij} \geq t$ , for all  $1 \leq j \leq n$ ;  $\sum_{i=1}^m x_i = 1$ ;  $x \geq (0, \dots, 0)$ .

Efficient methods for solving linear programming problems are well-known. However, below we will focus on ways to compute the values of two-person zero-sum games by hand.

(Hint: it might be better to write the first constraint as  $x^T A \geq (t, \dots, t)$ .)

**Exercise 10.** Find the value of the two-person zero-sum game described by the payoff matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 9 & 1 & 1 \\ 5 & 0 & 6 & 7 \\ 2 & 4 & 3 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

**Exercise 11.** Find the value of the two-person zero-sum game described by the payoff matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 7 & 0 & 6 \\ 4 & 4 & 3 & 3 \\ 8 & 2 & 6 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

**Exercise 12.** This Exercise shows that von Neumann's Minimax Theorem no longer holds when we consider games for three or more players.

first, note that there is a suitable generalization of this theorem to two-player general-sum games. That is if  $A$  is the payoff matrix for player  $I$  and  $B$  is the payoff matrix for player  $II$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{x \in \Delta_m} \min_{y \in \Delta_n} x^T A y &= \min_{y \in \Delta_n} \max_{x \in \Delta_m} x^T A y. \\ \max_{x \in \Delta_m} \min_{y \in \Delta_n} x^T B y &= \min_{y \in \Delta_n} \max_{x \in \Delta_m} x^T B y. \end{aligned}$$

In words, the first equality says: the maximum over player  $I$ 's strategies followed by the minimum of the other players strategies of the payoff of player  $I$  is equal to the minimum of the other players strategies followed by the maximum over player  $I$ 's strategies of the payoff of player  $I$ .

Now, consider a three-player general-sum game. The analogue of von Neumann's Theorem just applied to player  $I$  would say: the maximum over player  $I$ 's strategies followed by the minimum of the other players strategies of the payoff of player  $I$  is equal to the minimum of the other players strategies followed by the maximum over player  $I$ 's strategies of the payoff of player  $I$ .

Show that this statement is false for the following example.

	L	R		L	R
T	0	1		1	1
B	1	1		1	0
	W			E	

These matrices describe the payoffs for player  $I$ . In the game, player  $I$  chooses a row (T or B), player  $II$  chooses a column (L or R), and player  $III$  chooses a matrix (W or E)