

Please provide complete and well-written solutions to the following exercises.

Due March 22, at the beginning of class.

## Homework 7

**Exercise 1.** Show that  $\cosh(x) \leq e^{x^2/2}$ ,  $\forall x \in \mathbf{R}$ .

**Exercise 2** (Chernoff Inequality). Let  $0 < p < 1$ . Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be independent identically distributed random variables with  $\mathbf{P}(X_1 = 1) = p$  and  $\mathbf{P}(X_1 = 0) = 1 - p$  for any  $i \geq 1$ . Then for any  $n \geq 1$

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \geq t\right) \leq e^{-np} \left(\frac{ep}{t}\right)^{tn}, \quad \forall t \geq p.$$

Prove the same estimate for  $\mathbf{P}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \leq t\right)$  for any  $t \leq p$ . (Hint:  $1 + x \leq e^x$  for any  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ , so  $1 + (e^\alpha - 1)p \leq e^{(e^\alpha - 1)p}$ .)

**Exercise 3.** We return to the Erdős-Renyi random graph  $G = (V, E)$  on  $n$  vertices with parameter  $0 < p < 1$  from an earlier homework. Define  $d := p(n - 1)$ .

- Show that  $d$  is the expected degree of each vertex in  $G$ . (The degree of a vertex  $v \in V$  is the number of vertices connected to  $v$  by an edge in  $E$ .)
- Show that there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that the following holds. Assume  $p \geq \frac{c \log n}{n}$ . Then with probability larger than .9, all vertices of  $G$  have degrees in the range  $(.9d, 1.1d)$ . (Hint: first consider a single vertex, then use the union bound over all vertices.)

**Exercise 4** (Khinchine Inequality). Let  $0 < p < \infty$ . Then there exist constants  $A_p, B_p \in (0, \infty)$  such that the following holds.

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be independent identically distributed random variables with  $\mathbf{P}(X_1 = 1) = \mathbf{P}(X_1 = -1) = 1/2$ . Let  $a_1, a_2, \dots \in \mathbf{R}$ . Then

$$A_p \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i \right\|_p \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i \right\|_2 = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq B_p \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i \right\|_p.$$

So, all  $L_p$  (quasi)-norms of  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i$  are comparable.

(In Banach space terminology, there is an isomorphic copy of the Banach space  $\ell_2$  inside any space  $L_p[0, 1]$ ; e.g. we can use  $X_i(t) := \text{sign} \sin(2^i \pi t)$  for any  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $i \geq 1$ .)

(Hint: For the  $A_p$  inequality, use Hoeffding's inequality and "Integration by Parts," obtaining  $A_p \leq \sqrt{p}A$  for some fixed  $A > 0$ . For the  $B_p$  inequality with  $0 < p < 2$ , apply Logarithmic Convexity of  $L_p$  norms, in the form  $\|X\|_2^2 \leq \|X\|_p^{2(1-\theta)} \|X\|_4^{2\theta}$ , then apply the  $A_4$  inequality to get  $\|X\|_2^{2(1-\theta)} \leq A_p \|X\|_p^{2(1-\theta)}$ .)

**Exercise 5.** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be i.i.d. with  $\mathbf{E}|X_1| = \infty$ . Then  $\mathbf{P}(|X_n| > n \text{ for infinitely many } n \geq 1) = 1$ . And  $\mathbf{P}(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n} \in (-\infty, \infty)) = 0$ . (Hint: show  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}(|X_n| > n) = \infty$ , then apply the second Borel-Cantelli Lemma. Write  $\frac{S_n}{n} - \frac{S_{n+1}}{n+1} = \frac{S_n}{n(n+1)} - \frac{X_{n+1}}{n+1}$ , and consider what happens to both sides on the set where  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_n}{n} \in \mathbf{R}$ .)

Also, unfortunately the strong law cannot hold for triangular arrays.

**Exercise 6.** Let  $X$  be a random variable taking values in the natural numbers with  $\mathbf{P}(X = n) = \frac{1}{\zeta(3)} \frac{1}{n^3}$ , where  $\zeta(3) := \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^3}$ .

- Show that  $X$  is absolutely integrable.
- For any  $n \geq 1$ , let  $X_{n,1}, \dots, X_{n,n} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be independent copies of  $X$ . Show that the random variables  $\frac{X_{n,1} + \dots + X_{n,n}}{n}$  are almost surely unbounded. (Hint: for any constant  $c$ , show that  $\frac{X_{n,1} + \dots + X_{n,n}}{n} > c$  occurs with probability at least  $\varepsilon/n$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  depending on  $c$ . Then use the second Borel-Cantelli lemma.)

**Exercise 7** (Second Borel-Cantelli Lemma). Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  be independent events with  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}(A_n) = \infty$ . Then  $\mathbf{P}(A_n \text{ occurs for infinitely many } n \geq 1) = 1$ . (Hint: using  $1 - x \leq e^{-x}$  for any  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ , show  $\mathbf{P}(\cap_{n=s}^t A_n^c) \leq \exp(-\sum_{n=s}^t \mathbf{P}(A_n))$ , let  $t \rightarrow \infty$  to conclude  $\mathbf{P}(\cup_{n=s}^{\infty} A_n) = 1$  for all  $s \geq 1$ , then let  $s \rightarrow \infty$ .)

**Exercise 8.** Let  $X, X_1, X_2, \dots$  and let  $Y, Y_1, Y_2, \dots$  be random variables with values in  $\mathbf{R}$ .

- Assume that  $X$  is constant almost surely. Show that  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  converges to  $X$  in distribution if and only if  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  converges to  $X$  in probability.
- Prove this Lemma from the notes: Let  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots$  be a sequence of probability measures on  $\mathbf{R}$ . Then any subsequential limit of the sequence (with respect to vague convergence) is a probability measure if and only if  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots$  is **tight**:  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists m = m(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \mu_n([-m, m])) \leq \varepsilon.$$

- Suppose that  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  converges in distribution to  $X$ . Show there exist random variables  $Z, Z_1, Z_2, \dots : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  such that  $\mu_Z = \mu_X, \mu_{Z_n} = \mu_{X_n}$  for any  $n \geq 1$ , and such that  $Z_1, Z_2, \dots$  converges almost surely to  $Z$ . (Hint: use the sample space  $\Omega = [0, 1]$  and using an exercise from a previous homework, represent each random variable on  $\Omega$  as the “inverse” of its cumulative distribution function.)
- (Slutsky’s Theorem) Suppose  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  converges in distribution to  $X$  and  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots$  converges in probability to  $Y$ . Assume  $Y$  is constant almost surely. Show that  $X_1 + Y_1, X_2 + Y_2, \dots$  converges in distribution to  $X + Y$ . Show also that  $X_1 Y_1, X_2 Y_2, \dots$  converges in distribution to  $XY$ . (Hint: either use (iii) or use (ii) to control error terms.) What happens if  $Y$  is not constant almost surely?
- (Fatou’s lemma) If  $g : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is continuous, and if  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  converges in distribution to  $X$ , show that  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E}g(X_n) \geq \mathbf{E}g(X)$ .
- (Bounded convergence) If  $g : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is continuous and bounded, and if  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  converges in distribution to  $X$ , show that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E}g(X_n) = \mathbf{E}g(X)$ .

(vii) (Dominated convergence) If  $X_1, X_2, \dots : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  converges in distribution to  $X$ , and if there exists a random variable  $Y : \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  with  $|X_n| \leq Y$  for all  $n \geq 1$  and  $\mathbf{E}Y < \infty$ , show that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E}X_n = \mathbf{E}X$ .

**Exercise 9** (Portmanteau Theorem). Let  $X, X_1, X_2, \dots$  be random variables with values in  $\mathbf{R}$ . Show that the condition ( $X_1, X_2, \dots$  converges in distribution to  $X$ ) is equivalent to the following three statements:

- For any closed  $K \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ ,  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}(X_n \in K) \leq \mathbf{P}(X \in K)$ .
- For any open  $U \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ ,  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}(X_n \in U) \leq \mathbf{P}(X \in U)$ .
- For any Borel set  $E \subseteq \mathbf{R}$  whose topological boundary  $\partial E$  satisfies  $\mathbf{P}(X \in \partial E) = 0$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}(X_n \in E) = \mathbf{P}(X \in E)$ .

(Hint: Urysohn's Lemma might be helpful.)

**Exercise 10.** Let  $f, g, h : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be measurable functions. Assume that  $\int_{\mathbf{R}} |f(x)| dx$ ,  $\int_{\mathbf{R}} |g(x)| dx < \infty$  and  $\int_{\mathbf{R}} |h(x)| dx < \infty$ . Show that  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |(g * h)(t)| dt < \infty$ . Consequently,  $(g * h)(t) \in \mathbf{R}$  almost surely for  $t \in \mathbf{R}$  (with respect to Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbf{R}$ ).

Then, show that convolution is associative and commutative. That is,  $g * h = h * g$  and  $f * (g * h) = (f * g) * h$  almost surely.

**Exercise 11.** Using convolution, show that if  $X, Y$  are standard Gaussian random variables, then  $aX + bY$  is a Gaussian random variable with mean 0 and variance  $a^2 + b^2$ .

**Exercise 12.** Let  $X, Y, Z$  be independent and uniformly distributed on  $[0, 1]$ . Note that  $f_X$  is not a continuous function.

Using convolution, compute  $f_{X+Y}$ . Draw  $f_{X+Y}$ . Note that  $f_{X+Y}$  is a continuous function, but it is not differentiable at some points.

Using convolution, compute  $f_{X+Y+Z}$ . Draw  $f_{X+Y+Z}$ . Note that  $f_{X+Y+Z}$  is a differentiable function, but it does not have a second derivative at some points.

Make a conjecture about how many derivatives  $f_{X_1+\dots+X_n}$  has, where  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are independent and uniformly distributed on  $[0, 1]$ . You do not have to prove this conjecture. The idea of this exercise is that convolution is a kind of average of functions. And the more averaging you do, the more derivatives  $f_{X_1+\dots+X_n}$  has. Lastly,  $f_{X_1+\dots+X_n}$  should resemble a Gaussian density when  $n$  becomes large. So, we should be able to guess at a formulation of the Central Limit Theorem, at least for i.i.d. random variables with density.

**Exercise 13.** Construct two random variables  $X, Y$  such that  $X$  and  $Y$  are each uniformly distributed on  $[0, 1]$ , and such that  $\mathbf{P}(X + Y = 1) = 1$ .

Then construct two random variables  $W, Z$  such that  $W$  and  $Z$  are each uniformly distributed on  $[0, 1]$ , and such that  $W + Z$  is uniformly distributed on  $[0, 2]$ .

(Hint: there is a way to do each of the above problems with about one line of work. That is, there is a way to solve each problem without working very hard.)