

Please provide complete and well-written solutions to the following exercises.

Due April 19, at the beginning of class.

Homework 11

Exercise 1 (Binomial Option Pricing Model). Let $u, d > 0$. Let $0 < p < 1$. Let Y_1, Y_2, \dots be independent random variables such that $\mathbf{P}(Y_n = \log u) =: p$ and $\mathbf{P}(Y_n = \log d) = 1 - p$ $\forall n \geq 1$. Let Z_0 be a fixed constant. Let $Z_n := Y_0 + \dots + Y_n$, and let $V_n := e^{Z_n} \forall n \geq 1$. In general, V_0, V_1, \dots will not be a martingale, but we can e.g. compute $\mathbf{E}V_n$, by modifying V_0, V_1, \dots to be a martingale.

First, note that if $n \geq 1$, then Z_n has a binomial distribution, in the sense that

$$\mathbf{P}(Z_n = X_0 + i \log u + (n - i) \log d) = \binom{n}{i} p^i (1 - p)^{n-i}, \quad \forall 0 \leq i \leq n.$$

For any $n \geq 1$, let $\mathcal{F}_n := \sigma(Y_0, \dots, Y_n)$. Define

$$r := p(u - d) - 1 + d.$$

Here we chose r so that $p = \frac{1+r-d}{u-d}$. For any $n \geq 0$, define

$$X_n := (1 + r)^{-n} V_n.$$

Show that X_0, X_1, \dots is a martingale with respect to $\mathcal{F}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{F}_1 \subseteq \dots$. Consequently,

$$(1 + r)^{-n} \mathbf{E}S_n = \mathbf{E}S_0, \quad \forall n \geq 0.$$

Exercise 2. Let M_0, M_1, \dots be a martingale with $\mathbf{E}M_n^2 < \infty$ for all $n \geq 0$. Show that the increments $M_2 - M_1, M_3 - M_2, \dots$ are orthogonal in the following sense. For any $i, j \geq 1$ with $i \neq j$,

$$\mathbf{E}(M_{i+1} - M_i)(M_{j+1} - M_j) = 0.$$

This property is sometimes called **orthogonality of martingale increments**.

Exercise 3. Let X be a real-valued random variable on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$. Assume $\mathbf{E}|X| < \infty$. Let $\mathcal{F}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{F}_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ be σ -algebras. For any $n \geq 0$, define $X_n := \mathbf{E}(X | \mathcal{F}_n)$. Show that X_0, X_1, \dots is a martingale. (Optional challenge question: For any martingale $((X_n)_{n \geq 0}, (\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \geq 0})$, is there a random variable X with $\mathbf{E}|X| < \infty$ such that $X_n = \mathbf{E}(X | \mathcal{F}_n)$ for all $n \geq 0$?)

Exercise 4. Let M, N be stopping times for a martingale $((X_n)_{n \geq 0}, (\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \geq 0})$. Show that $\max(M, N)$ and $\min(M, N)$ are stopping times. In particular, if $n \geq 0$ is fixed, then $\max(M, n)$ and $\min(M, n)$ are stopping times

Exercise 5. Let X_0, X_1, \dots and let Y_0, Y_1, \dots be submartingales adapted to the same filtration $\mathcal{F}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{F}_1 \subseteq \dots$. Show that $X_0 + Y_0, X_1 + Y_1, \dots$ is a submartingale adapted to the filtration $\mathcal{F}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{F}_1 \subseteq \dots$. Consequently, a sum of supermartingales is a supermartingale, and a sum of martingales is a martingale (when they are adapted to the same filtration).

Exercise 6.

- (i) Let $((X_n)_{n \geq 0}, (\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \geq 0})$ be a submartingale. Show that, almost surely, $\mathbf{E}(X_n | \mathcal{F}_m) \geq X_m$ for any $n > m$. Consequently, $n \mapsto \mathbf{E}X_n$ is nondecreasing.
- (ii) Let $((X_n)_{n \geq 0}, (\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \geq 0})$ be a supermartingale. Show that, almost surely, $\mathbf{E}(X_n | \mathcal{F}_m) \leq X_m$ for any $n > m$. Consequently, $n \mapsto \mathbf{E}X_n$ is nonincreasing.
- (iii) Let $((X_n)_{n \geq 0}, (\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \geq 0})$ be a martingale. Let $\phi: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be convex. Assume $\mathbf{E}|\phi(X_n)| < \infty$ for all $n \geq 1$. Show that $((\phi(X_n))_{n \geq 0}, (\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \geq 0})$ is a submartingale.
- (iv) Let $((X_n)_{n \geq 0}, (\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \geq 0})$ be a submartingale. Let $\phi: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be convex and nondecreasing. Assume $\mathbf{E}|\phi(X_n)| < \infty$ for all $n \geq 1$. Show that $((\phi(X_n))_{n \geq 0}, (\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \geq 0})$ is a submartingale.

Exercise 7 (Azuma's Inequality). In this exercise, we prove a generalization of the Hoeffding inequality to martingales. Let $c_1, c_2, \dots > 0$. Let $((X_n)_{n \geq 0}, (\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \geq 0})$ be a martingale. Assume that $|X_n - X_{n-1}| \leq c_n$ for all $n \geq 1$. Then for any $t > 0$,

$$\mathbf{P}(|X_n - X_0| > t) \leq 2e^{-\frac{t^2}{2\sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2}}.$$

Prove this inequality using the following steps.

- Let $\alpha > 0$. Show that $\mathbf{E}e^{\alpha(X_n - X_0)} = \mathbf{E}[e^{\alpha(X_{n-1} - X_0)} \mathbf{E}(e^{\alpha(X_n - X_{n-1})} | \mathcal{F}_{n-1})]$.
- For any $y \in [-1, 1]$, show that $e^{\alpha c_n y} \leq \frac{1+y}{2} e^{\alpha c_n} + \frac{1-y}{2} e^{-\alpha c_n}$.
- Take the conditional expectation of this inequality when $y = (X_n - X_{n-1})/c_n$.
- Now argue as in Hoeffding's inequality.

Using Azuma's inequality, deduce **McDiarmid's Inequality**. Let X_1, \dots, X_n be independent real-valued random variables. Let $c_1, c_2, \dots > 0$. Let $f: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a measurable function such that, for any $1 \leq m \leq n$,

$$\sup_{x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}, x_m, x'_m, x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n \in \mathbf{R}} |f(x_1, \dots, x_n) - f(x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}, x'_m, x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n)| \leq c_m.$$

Then, for any $t > 0$,

$$\mathbf{P}(|f(X_1, \dots, X_n) - \mathbf{E}f(X_1, \dots, X_n)| > t) \leq 2e^{-\frac{t^2}{2\sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2}}.$$

(Note that a linear function f recovers Hoeffding's inequality.)

Exercise 8. In the L_p maximal inequality, the constant $\frac{p}{p-1}$ goes to infinity as $p \rightarrow 1^+$. So, one might guess that the L_p maximal inequality does not hold when $p = 1$. (If so, this justifies the need to prove a weaker statement when $p = 1$, i.e. Doob's inequality.) Using the simple random walk on \mathbf{Z} , show that the L_p maximal inequality does not hold when $p = 1$. (Hint: use the probabilities for a simple random walk taking one value before another, as we did in class after Wald's equation was introduced.)

Exercise 9. Show that the second part of the L_p maximal inequality cannot hold when X_0, X_1, \dots is a submartingale. That is, for any $n \geq 1$, find a submartingale X_0, X_1, \dots such that, for any $p > 1$, $\|\max_{0 \leq m \leq n} |X_m|\|_p > 0$ but such that $\|X_n\|_p = 0$. (Hint: just consider a non-random sequence of numbers.)