

541B Midterm 1 Solutions¹

1. QUESTION 1

Let X be a Gaussian random variable with mean $\mu \in \mathbf{R}$ and variance 1, so that X has PDF

$$f(x) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-(x-\mu)^2/2}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Provide a 99% confidence interval for μ .

Your answer should use the function $\Psi(t) := \int_{-t}^t e^{-x^2/2} dx / \sqrt{2\pi}$, $\Psi: (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, 1)$, and/or the function $\Psi^{-1}: (0, 1) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$. (Recall that $\Psi(\Psi^{-1}(s)) = s$ for all $s \in (0, 1)$ and $\Psi^{-1}(\Psi(t)) = t$ for all $t > 0$.)

Solution. Since $X - \mu$ is a standard Gaussian random variable (and $X - \mu$ is a pivotal quantity) we have

$$\mathbf{P}(-a \leq X - \mu \leq a) = \int_{-a}^a e^{-x^2/2} dx / \sqrt{2\pi} = \Psi(a), \quad \forall a > 0.$$

Setting $\Psi(a) = .99$, we have $\Psi^{-1}(.99) =: a$, so that

$$\mathbf{P}(X - \Psi^{-1}(.99) \leq \mu \leq X + \Psi^{-1}(.99)) = \Psi(\Psi^{-1}(.99)) = .99.$$

That is, the confidence interval for μ is

$$[X - \Psi^{-1}(.99), \quad X + \Psi^{-1}(.99)].$$

2. QUESTION 2

Suppose X is a binomial distributed random variable with parameters 2 and $\theta \in \{1/2, 3/4\}$. (That is, X is the number of heads that result from flipping two coins, where each coin has probability θ of landing heads.)

We want to test the hypothesis H_0 that $\theta = 1/2$ versus the hypothesis H_1 that $\theta = 3/4$.

Let \mathcal{T} be the set of hypothesis tests with significance level at most $1/20$.

(Recall that the significance level of a hypothesis test $\phi: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is $\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} \mathbf{E}_\theta \phi(X)$.)

Find a uniformly most powerful (UMP) class \mathcal{T} hypothesis test $\phi: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$.

Justify your answer.

Hint: you can freely use the following facts for the PMF f_θ of X

$$\frac{f_{3/4}(0)}{f_{1/2}(0)} = \frac{1}{4}, \quad \frac{f_{3/4}(1)}{f_{1/2}(1)} = \frac{3}{4}, \quad \frac{f_{3/4}(2)}{f_{1/2}(2)} = \frac{9}{4}.$$

Solution. The Neyman-Pearson Lemma says that a UMP test for the class of tests with an upper bound on the significance level must be a likelihood ratio test with significance level equal to $1/20$. That is, there is some $k > 0$ and $\gamma \in [0, 1]$ such that the following hypothesis test is UMP class \mathcal{T} .

$$\phi(x) := \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{ if } f_{\theta_1}(x) > k f_{\theta_0}(x) \\ 0 & , \text{ if } f_{\theta_1}(x) < k f_{\theta_0}(x) \\ \gamma & , \text{ if } f_{\theta_1}(x) = k f_{\theta_0}(x). \end{cases}$$

¹September 18, 2023, © 2023 Steven Heilman, All Rights Reserved.

After examining the likelihood ratios, we decide to choose $k = 9/4$, so that

$$\phi(x) := \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{ if } f_{\theta_1}(x) > (9/4)f_{\theta_0}(x) \\ 0 & , \text{ if } f_{\theta_1}(x) < (9/4)f_{\theta_0}(x) \\ \gamma & , \text{ if } f_{\theta_1}(x) = (9/4)f_{\theta_0}(x) \end{cases} = \begin{cases} 0 & , \text{ if } x \neq 2 \\ \gamma & , \text{ if } x = 2. \end{cases}$$

Then $\mathbf{E}_{\theta_0}\phi(X) = \mathbf{P}_{\theta_0}(X = 2)\gamma = \mathbf{P}_{1/2}(X = 2)\gamma = (1/4)\gamma$. Since this quantity is equal to $1/20$ by assumption, we choose $\gamma := 1/5$. That is, our UMP test is

$$\phi(x) := \begin{cases} 0 & , \text{ if } x \neq 2 \\ 1/5 & , \text{ if } x = 2. \end{cases}$$

3. QUESTION 3

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a real-valued random sample of size n so that X_1 has PDF given by

$$f(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x} 1_{x>0}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbf{R},$$

where $\lambda > 0$ is an unknown parameter.

Suppose we want to test the hypothesis H_0 that $0 < \lambda \leq 2$ versus the hypothesis H_1 that $\lambda > 2$.

Describe the uniformly most powerful hypothesis test among all hypothesis tests with significance level at most $1/3$. Justify your answer.

Solution. We have for any $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$,

$$f_\lambda(x) = 1_{x_1, \dots, x_n \geq 0} \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda e^{-\lambda x_i} = 1_{x_1, \dots, x_n \geq 0} \lambda^n e^{-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n x_i}.$$

So, if $\lambda_1 > \lambda_0 > 0$, we have for any $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$,

$$\frac{f_{\lambda_1}(x)}{f_{\lambda_0}(x)} = 1_{x_1, \dots, x_n \geq 0} (\lambda_1/\lambda_0)^n e^{-(\lambda_1 - \lambda_0) \sum_{i=1}^n x_i}.$$

Since $\lambda_1 - \lambda_0 > 0$, this likelihood ratio is a strictly increasing function of $-\sum_{i=1}^n x_i$. We conclude from the Karlin-Rubin Theorem that there is a UMP test is of the form

$$\phi(x) := \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{ if } -\sum_{i=1}^n x_i > c \\ 0 & , \text{ if } -\sum_{i=1}^n x_i < c \\ \gamma & , \text{ if } -\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = c. \end{cases}$$

for some $c \in \mathbf{R}$. Rewriting this, and noting that $-\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = c$ has probability zero for any $\lambda > 0$,

$$\phi(x) := \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{ if } \sum_{i=1}^n x_i < -c \\ 0 & , \text{ if } \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \geq -c. \end{cases}$$

4. QUESTION 4

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a real-valued random sample of size n from a family of distributions $\{f_\theta: \theta \in \Theta\}$. (That is, X has distribution f_θ , where $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$.) Suppose $\Theta = \mathbf{R}$. Fix $\theta \in \mathbf{R}$. Suppose $\{f_\theta: \theta \in \Theta\}$ has the monotone likelihood ratio property with respect to a statistic $Y = t(X)$ that is a continuous random variable.

Consider the set of hypothesis tests with rejection region $\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n: t(x) > c\}$, where $c \in \mathbf{R}$ is a constant (so that different values of c correspond to different hypothesis tests.) Fix $\theta_0 \in \Theta$. Suppose we are testing $H_0 = \{\theta \leq \theta_0\}$ versus $H_1 = \{\theta > \theta_0\}$. For any $0 < \alpha < 1$, let $c_\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ such that the rejection region $\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n: t(x) > c_\alpha\}$ has significance level α . Define the p -value quantity

$$p(x) := \inf\{\alpha \in [0, 1]: t(x) > c_\alpha\}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbf{R}^n.$$

(Here we define the infimum of the empty set to be 1.)

Show that, if $X = x$, then $p(x)$ satisfies

$$p(x) = \mathbf{P}_{\theta_0}(t(X) > t(x)).$$

Solution. Recall that significance level α means that

$$\alpha = \sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} \mathbf{E}_\theta \phi(X) = \sup_{\theta \leq \theta_0} \mathbf{P}_\theta(t(X) > c_\alpha)$$

Since the Karlin-Rubin Theorem implies that the power function is nondecreasing in θ , we have

$$\alpha = \mathbf{P}_{\theta_0}(t(X) > c_\alpha). \quad (*)$$

We also have

$$p(x) = \inf\{\alpha \in [0, 1]: \phi_\alpha(x) = 1\} = \inf\{\alpha \in [0, 1]: t(x) > c_\alpha\}.$$

The nested property implies that $\{\alpha \in [0, 1]: t(x) > c_\alpha\}$ is an interval, so that the infimum of this set is the smaller endpoint of that interval. That is, there exists some $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ such that $p(x) = \alpha$ and $t(x) = c_\alpha$. So, from (*),

$$\alpha = p(x) = \mathbf{P}_{\theta_0}(t(X) > c_\alpha) = \mathbf{P}_{\theta_0}(t(X) > t(x)).$$