

Please provide complete and well-written solutions to the following exercises.

Due November 3, in the discussion section.

## Homework 4

**Exercise 1.** Let  $\Omega$  be a finite state space. This exercise demonstrates that the total variation distance is a metric. That is, the following three properties are satisfied:

- $\|\mu - \nu\|_{\text{TV}} \geq 0$  for all probability distributions  $\mu, \nu$  on  $\Omega$ , and  $\|\mu - \nu\|_{\text{TV}} = 0$  if and only if  $\mu = \nu$ .
- $\|\mu - \nu\|_{\text{TV}} = \|\nu - \mu\|_{\text{TV}}$
- $\|\mu - \nu\|_{\text{TV}} \leq \|\mu - \eta\|_{\text{TV}} + \|\eta - \nu\|_{\text{TV}}$  for all probability distributions  $\mu, \nu, \eta$  on  $\Omega$ .

(Hint: you may want to use the triangle inequality for real numbers:  $|x - y| \leq |x - z| + |z - y|$ ,  $\forall x, y, z \in \mathbf{R}$ .)

**Exercise 2.** Let  $\mu, \nu$  be probability distributions on a finite state space  $\Omega$ . Then

$$\|\mu - \nu\|_{\text{TV}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in \Omega} |\mu(x) - \nu(x)|.$$

(Hint: consider the set  $A = \{x \in \Omega : \mu(x) \geq \nu(x)\}$ .)

**Exercise 3.** Let  $(X_0, X_1, \dots)$  be the simple random walk on  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Show that  $\mathbf{P}_0(X_n = 0)$  decays like  $1/\sqrt{n}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . That is, show

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{2n} \mathbf{P}_0(X_{2n} = 0) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}.$$

Also, show the upper bound

$$\mathbf{P}_0(X_n = k) \leq \frac{10}{\sqrt{n}}, \quad \forall n \geq 0, k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

(Hint 1: first consider the case  $n = 2r$  for  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ . It may be helpful to show that  $\binom{2r}{r+j}$  is maximized when  $j = 0$ . To eventually deal with  $k$  odd, just condition on the first step of the walk.)

(Hint 2: you can freely use **Stirling's formula**:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n!}{\sqrt{2\pi n} (n/e)^n} = 1.$$

Or, there is a more precise estimate: for any  $n \geq 3$ , there exists  $1/(12n+1) \leq \varepsilon_n \leq 1/(12n)$  such that

$$n! = \sqrt{2\pi} e^{-n} n^{n+1/2} e^{\varepsilon_n}.$$

**Exercise 4.** Show that every state in the simple random walk on  $\mathbb{Z}$  is recurrent. (You should show this statement for any starting location of the Markov chain.)

Then, find a nearest-neighbor random walk on  $\mathbb{Z}$  such that every state is transient.

**Exercise 5.** For the simple random walk on  $\mathbb{Z}$ , show that  $\mathbf{E}_0 T_0 = \infty$ . Conclude that, for any  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbf{E}_x T_y = \infty$ .

**Exercise 6.** Let  $(X_0, X_1, \dots)$  be the “corner walk” on  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ . The transitions are described as follows. From any point  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ , the Markov chain adds any of the following four vector to  $(x, y)$  each with probability  $1/4$ :  $\{(1, 1), (1, -1), (-1, 1), (-1, -1)\}$ . Using that the coordinates of this walk are each independent simple random walks on  $\mathbb{Z}$ , conclude that there exists  $c > 0$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \mathbf{P}_{(0,0)}(X_{2n} = (0, 0)) = c.$$

That is,  $\mathbf{P}_{(0,0)}(X_{2n} = (0, 0))$  is about  $c/n$ , when  $n$  is large.

Now, note that the usual nearest-neighbor simple random walk on  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  is a rotation of the corner walk by an angle of  $\pi/4$ . So, the above limiting statement also holds for the simple random walk on  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ .

**Exercise 7.** Let  $\Omega = [0, 1]$ . Let  $\mathbf{P}$  be the uniform probability law on  $\Omega$ . Let  $X: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a random variable such that  $X(t) = t^2$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Let

$$\mathcal{A} = \{[0, 1/4], [1/4, 1/2], [1/2, 3/4], [3/4, 1]\}$$

Compute explicitly the function  $\mathbf{E}(X|\mathcal{A})$ . (It should be constant on each of the partition elements.) Draw the function  $\mathbf{E}(X|\mathcal{A})$  and compare it to a drawing of  $X$  itself.

Now, for every integer  $k > 1$ , let  $s = 2^{-k}$ , and let  $\mathcal{A}_k := \{[0, s], [s, 2s], [2s, 3s], \dots, [1-2s, 1-s], [1-s, 1]\}$ . Try to draw  $\mathbf{E}(X|\mathcal{A}_k)$ . Convince yourself of the following fact (you can prove it if you want, but you do not have to): for every  $t \in [0, 1]$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E}(X|\mathcal{A}_k)(t) = X(t).$$

The purpose of this exercise is to demonstrate that  $\mathbf{E}(X|\mathcal{A})$  is given by averaging  $X$  over each partition element, such that  $\mathbf{E}(X|\mathcal{A})$  is constant on each partition element of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Exercise 8.** Let  $X$  be a random variable with finite variance, and let  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ . Consider the function  $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  defined by  $f(t) = \mathbf{E}(X - t)^2$ . Show that the function  $f$  is uniquely minimized when  $t = \mathbf{E}X$ . That is,  $f(\mathbf{E}X) < f(t)$  for all  $t \in \mathbf{R}$  such that  $t \neq \mathbf{E}X$ . Put another way, setting  $t$  to be the mean of  $X$  minimizes the quantity  $\mathbf{E}(X - t)^2$  uniquely.

The conditional expectation, being a piecewise version of taking an average, has a similar property. Let  $A_1, \dots, A_k \subseteq \Omega$  such that  $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$  for all  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  with  $i \neq j$ , and  $\cup_{i=1}^k A_i = \Omega$ . Write  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, \dots, A_k\}$ . By definition, for each  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $\mathbf{E}(X|\mathcal{A})$  is constant on  $A_i$ . Now, let  $Y$  be any other random variable such that, for each  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $Y$  is constant on  $A_i$ . Show that the quantity  $\mathbf{E}(X - Y)^2$  is uniquely minimized by such a  $Y$  only when  $Y = \mathbf{E}(X|\mathcal{A})$ .

**Exercise 9.** Let  $\Omega = [0, 1]$ . Let  $\mathbf{P}$  be the uniform probability law on  $\Omega$ . Let  $X : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a random variable such that  $X(t) = t^2$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ . For every integer  $k > 1$ , let  $s = 2^{-k}$ , let  $\mathcal{A}_k := \{[0, s), [s, 2s), [2s, 3s), \dots, [1 - 2s, 1 - s), [1 - s, 1)\}$ , and let  $M_k := \mathbf{E}(X|\mathcal{A}_k)$ . Show that the increments  $M_2 - M_1, M_3 - M_2, \dots$  are orthogonal in the following sense. For any  $i, j \geq 1$  with  $i \neq j$ ,

$$\mathbf{E}(M_{i+1} - M_i)(M_{j+1} - M_j) = 0.$$

This property is sometimes called **orthogonality of martingale increments**. This property holds for many martingales, but we will not prove this.