

170B Final Solutions, Fall 2017¹

1. QUESTION 1

True/False

(a) Let A_1, A_2, \dots be subsets of a sample space Ω . Let \mathbf{P} denote a probability law on Ω . Then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}(A_n) = \mathbf{P}(\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n)$$

FALSE. Let $A_1 = A_2 = \Omega$ and let $\emptyset = A_3 = A_4 = \dots$. Then the left side is $1 + 1 = 2$, but the right side is $\mathbf{P}(\Omega) = 1$.

(b) Let X be a continuous random variable. Let f_X be the density function of X . Then, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{P}(X \leq t)$ exists, and

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{P}(X \leq t) = f_X(t).$$

FALSE. Let $f_X(t) := 1$ for any $t \in [0, 1]$ and let $f_X(t) := 0$ otherwise. Then

$$\mathbf{P}(X \leq t) = \begin{cases} 0 & , \text{ if } t < 0 \\ t & , \text{ if } 0 \leq t \leq 1. \\ 1 & , \text{ if } t > 1 \end{cases}$$

In particular, $\frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{P}(X < t)$ does not exist at $t = 0$.

(c) Let X be a random variable such that $\mathbb{E}X^4 < \infty$. Then $\mathbb{E}X^2 < \infty$.

TRUE. By Jensen's inequality, $(\mathbb{E}X^2)^2 \leq \mathbb{E}X^4 < \infty$.

(d) Let X be a random variable such that $\mathbb{E}(X^6) = 16$. Then

$$\mathbf{P}(|X| > 2) \leq 1/4.$$

TRUE. By Markov's inequality,

$$\mathbf{P}(|X| > 2) = \mathbf{P}(|X|^6 > 2^6) \leq \mathbb{E}X^6 / 2^6 = 2^4 / 2^6 = 1/4.$$

(e) Let $i = \sqrt{-1}$. Let X_1, X_2, \dots be random variables such that, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}e^{itX_n} = e^{-t^2/2}.$$

Then X_1, X_2, \dots converges in distribution to a standard Gaussian random variable.

TRUE. This is basically how we proved the Central Limit Theorem (Theorem 3.21 in the notes). This assertion follows by the Levy Continuity Theorem, and using that $\mathbb{E}e^{itZ} = e^{-t^2/2}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ where Z is a standard Gaussian random variable (Prop. 2.55 in the notes).

(f) Let X be a random variable with $\mathbb{E}|X| = 3$. Then

$$\mathbf{P}(X > t) \leq \frac{3}{t}, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$$

FALSE. If $t = -1$, then this says $\mathbf{P}(X > t) \leq -3$, which cannot be true.

(g) Let $\{N(s)\}_{s \geq 0}$ be a Poisson Process with parameter $\lambda = 1$. Then

$$N(4) - N(3), N(3) - N(2), N(2) - N(1), N(1)$$

are all independent random variables.

¹December 12, 2017, © 2017 Steven Heilman, All Rights Reserved.

TRUE. This is the independent increment property (recalling $N(1) = N(1) - 0 = N(1) - N(0)$.)

(h) If a sequence of random variables X_1, X_2, \dots converges in distribution to a random variable X , then X_1, X_2, \dots converges in probability to X .

FALSE. Let $\Omega = [0, 1]$. For any $n \geq 1$, let

$$X_n(\omega) := \begin{cases} (-1)^n & , \text{ if } \omega \in [0, 1/2) \\ (-1)^{n+1} & , \text{ if } \omega \in [1/2, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Then X_1, X_2, \dots all have the same distribution, so they converge in distribution to e.g. $X := X_1$, but they do not converge in probability; $\mathbf{P}(|X_n - X| > 1/2) = 1$ for all n even, so $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}(|X_n - X| > 1/2) \neq 0$.

(i) If a sequence of random variables X_1, X_2, \dots converges in distribution to a random variable X , then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}X_n^2 = \mathbb{E}X^2$$

FALSE. Let $\Omega = [0, 1]$. For any $n \geq 1$, let

$$X_n(\omega) := \begin{cases} n & , \text{ if } \omega \in [0, 1/n] \\ 0 & , \text{ if } \omega \in (1/n, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Then $\mathbb{E}X_n^2 = n$ for all $n \geq 1$, but X_1, X_2, \dots converges in probability to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$, as shown in class. So, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}X_n^2 = \infty \neq 0 = \mathbb{E}X$.

2. QUESTION 2

Let X, Y be independent random variables. Suppose X has Fourier Transform

$$\phi_X(t) = e^{-t^2/2}, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(Recall that $\phi_X(t) = \mathbb{E}e^{itX}$ where $i = \sqrt{-1}$.) Suppose Y has Fourier Transform

$$\phi_Y(t) = \cos(t), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Compute $\mathbb{E}[(X + Y)^2]$.

Solution 1. Since X, Y are independent, we have $\phi_{X+Y}(t) = \phi_X(t)\phi_Y(t) = e^{-t^2/2} \cos(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ by Proposition 2.54 in the notes. Also recalling the proof of Exercise 2.52,

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \Big|_{t=0} \phi_{X+Y}(t) = \mathbb{E} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \Big|_{t=0} e^{it(X+Y)} = i^2 \mathbb{E}(X + Y)^2.$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(X + Y)^2 &= -\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \Big|_{t=0} \phi_{X+Y}(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} (t \cos(t) e^{-t^2/2} + \sin(t) e^{-t^2/2}) \\ &= -t(t \cos(t) + \sin(t)) e^{-t^2} + (\cos(t) - t \sin(t) + \cos(t)) e^{-t^2/2} \Big|_{t=0} = 2. \end{aligned}$$

Solution 2. As mentioned above, and using that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \phi_X(t) &= \mathbb{E} \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} e^{itX} = i \mathbb{E}X. \\ \mathbb{E}X &= -i \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \phi_X(t) = -i \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} e^{-t^2/2} = [ite^{-t^2/2}]_{t=0} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbb{E}X^2 = -\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\Big|_{t=0}\phi_X(t) = -\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\Big|_{t=0}e^{-t^2/2} = \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}te^{-t^2/2} = 1.$$

$$\mathbb{E}Y = -i\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}\phi_Y(t) = \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}\cos(t) = [i\sin(t)]_{t=0} = 0.$$

$$\mathbb{E}Y^2 = -\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\Big|_{t=0}\phi_Y(t) = -\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\Big|_{t=0}\cos(t) = \cos(0) = 1.$$

Therefore, using also that X, Y are independent,

$$\mathbb{E}(X + Y)^2 = \mathbb{E}X^2 + \mathbb{E}Y^2 + 2\mathbb{E}(XY) = 1 + 1 + (\mathbb{E}X)(\mathbb{E}Y) = 2 + 0 \cdot 0 = 2.$$

3. QUESTION 3

Let X be a random variable uniformly distributed on $[0, 1]$.

Let Y be a random variable such that $Y = X$. (Note that Y is uniformly distributed on $[0, 1]$.)

Find the density of $X + Y$.

Solution. Using the definition of X and Y , we have

$$\mathbf{P}(X + Y \leq t) = \mathbf{P}(2X \leq t) = \mathbf{P}(X \leq t/2) = \begin{cases} 0 & , \text{if } t \leq 0 \\ t/2 & , \text{if } 0 < t \leq 2 \\ 1 & , \text{if } t > 2. \end{cases}$$

So,

$$f_{X+Y}(t) = \frac{d}{dt}\mathbf{P}(X + Y \leq t) = \begin{cases} 0 & , \text{if } t \leq 0 \\ 1/2 & , \text{if } 0 < t \leq 2 \\ 0 & , \text{if } t > 2. \end{cases}$$

4. QUESTION 4

Markov's inequality says: for any random variable X with $X \geq 0$, we have

$$\mathbf{P}(X > t) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}X}{t}, \quad \forall t > 0.$$

Prove Markov's inequality.

Solution. Let $t > 0$. Let Y be a random variable such that

$$Y = \begin{cases} t & , \text{if } X \geq t \\ 0 & , \text{if } X < t. \end{cases}$$

By definition of Y , we have $Y \leq X$. Therefore, $\mathbb{E}Y \leq \mathbb{E}X$ by Exercise ???. By the definition of Y , $\mathbb{E}Y = t\mathbf{P}(X \geq t)$. That is,

$$t\mathbf{P}(X \geq t) \leq \mathbb{E}(X).$$

5. QUESTION 5

Using the Central Limit Theorem, prove the Weak Law of Large Numbers.

(You may assume that X_1, X_2, \dots are independent, identically distributed random variables such that $\mathbb{E}|X_1| < \infty$ and $0 < \text{var}(X_1) < \infty$.)

Solution. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $\sigma := \sqrt{\text{var}(X_1)}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}\left(\left|\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n} - \mathbb{E}X_1\right| > \varepsilon\right) &= \mathbf{P}\left(\left|\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n - n\mathbb{E}X_1}{n}\right| > \varepsilon\right) \\ &= \mathbf{P}\left(\left|\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n - n\mathbb{E}X_1}{\sigma\sqrt{n}}\right| > \frac{\sqrt{n}\varepsilon}{\sigma}\right) \end{aligned}$$

So, for any $N > 0$, there exists $m > 0$ such that, for all $n > m$, we have

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\left|\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n} - \mathbb{E}X_1\right| > \varepsilon\right) \leq \mathbf{P}\left(\left|\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n - n\mathbb{E}X_1}{\sigma\sqrt{n}}\right| > N\right).$$

(For example, choose $m = N^2\sigma^2/\varepsilon^2$, so if $n > m$, then $\sqrt{n}\varepsilon/\sigma > \sqrt{m}\varepsilon/\sigma = N$, so the set on the left is contained in the set on the right.) Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using the Central Limit Theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}\left(\left|\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n} - \mathbb{E}X_1\right| > \varepsilon\right) &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}\left(\left|\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n - n\mathbb{E}X_1}{\sigma\sqrt{n}}\right| > N\right) \\ &= 2 \int_N^\infty e^{-x^2/2} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{2\pi}}. \end{aligned}$$

The left side does not depend on N , so we let $N \rightarrow \infty$ to conclude that

$$0 \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}\left(\left|\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n} - \mathbb{E}X_1\right| > \varepsilon\right) \leq \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} 2 \int_N^\infty e^{-x^2/2} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{2\pi}} = 0.$$

6. QUESTION 6

Let X_1, X_2, \dots be a Bernoulli process with parameter $p = 1/2$. What is the expected number of trials that have to occur before we see two consecutive “successes”?

(Your final answer can be left as an infinite sum of numbers.)

Solution 1. Let T be the number of coin flips that occur until two successive heads occur. From the Total Expectation Theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}T &= \mathbb{E}(T|X_1 = 0)\mathbf{P}(X_1 = 0) + \mathbb{E}(T|X_1 = 1, X_2 = 0)\mathbf{P}(X_1 = 1, X_2 = 0) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E}(T|X_1 = 1, X_2 = 1)\mathbf{P}(X_1 = 1, X_2 = 1) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}(T|X_1 = 0) + \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{E}(T|X_1 = 1, X_2 = 0) + \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{E}(T|X_1 = 1, X_2 = 1). \end{aligned}$$

From the fresh-start property (or Markov property) of the Bernoulli process, X_1, X_2, \dots is also a Bernoulli process. That is, if we condition on $X_1 = 0$, then $\mathbb{E}(T|X_1 = 0) = 1 + \mathbb{E}T$. Similarly, $\mathbb{E}(T|X_1 = 1, X_2 = 0) = 2 + \mathbb{E}T$. Also, $\mathbb{E}(T|X_1 = 1, X_2 = 1) = 2$, since both successes occurred during the first two coin flips in this case. In summary,

$$\mathbb{E}T = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \mathbb{E}T) + \frac{1}{4}(2 + \mathbb{E}T) + \frac{1}{4}(2).$$

Rearranging, we get

$$\frac{1}{4}\mathbb{E}T = \frac{3}{2}.$$

That is, $\mathbb{E}T = 6$.

Solution 2. Let T_1 be the number of coin flips that occur until the first success occurs. For any $i \geq 2$, let T_i be the number of coin flips that occur between the i^{th} success and the $(i-1)^{\text{st}}$ success. Then the event that two consecutive heads occurs can be written as the disjoint union

$$\cup_{j=2}^{\infty} \{T_j = 1, T_i > 1, \forall 2 \leq i < j\}.$$

Let T be the number of coin flips that occur until two successive heads occur. Then, by the Total Expectation Theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}T &= \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}(T | T_j = 1, T_i > 1, \forall 2 \leq i < j) \mathbf{P}(T_j = 1, T_i > 1, \forall 2 \leq i < j) \\ &= \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{k=1}^j T_k | T_j = 1, T_i > 1, \forall 2 \leq i < j\right) \mathbf{P}(T_j = 1, T_i > 1, \forall 2 \leq i < j) \end{aligned}$$

From the notes, we know that T_1, T_2, \dots are independent geometric random variables with parameter $p = 1/2$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}T &= \mathbb{E}T_1 + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{k=2}^j T_k | T_j = 1, T_i > 1, \forall 2 \leq i < j\right) \mathbf{P}(T_j = 1, T_i > 1, \forall 2 \leq i < j) \\ &= \mathbb{E}T_1 + 1 + \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{k=2}^{j-1} T_k | T_j = 1, T_i > 1, \forall 2 \leq i < j\right) \mathbf{P}(T_j = 1, T_i > 1, \forall 2 \leq i < j) \\ &= \mathbb{E}T_1 + 1 + \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} (j-3) \mathbb{E}(T_1 | T_1 > 1) 2^{-(j-2)} = \mathbb{E}T_1 + 1 + \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} (j-3)(1 + \mathbb{E}T_1) 2^{-(j-2)} \\ &= \mathbb{E}T_1 + 1 + (1 + \mathbb{E}T_1) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (j-1) 2^{-j} = \mathbb{E}T_1 + 1 + (1 + \mathbb{E}T_1)(\mathbb{E}T_1 - 1) = 2 + 1 + (3)(1) = 6. \end{aligned}$$

7. QUESTION 7

Let X_1, X_2, \dots be a sequence of independent, identically distributed random variables. Assume that $\mathbb{E}X_1 = 1/2$ and $\text{var}(X_1) = 3/4$.

(i) Compute

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}\left(\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n} > 1\right).$$

(ii) For any $n \geq 1$, define

$$Y_n := \frac{X_1^2 + \dots + X_n^2}{X_1 + \dots + X_n}.$$

Does Y_1, Y_2, \dots converge almost surely? If so, what does Y_1, Y_2, \dots converge to almost surely?

Solution. From the Weak Law of Large Numbers, for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P} \left(\left| \frac{X_1 + \cdots + X_n}{n} - \frac{1}{2} \right| > \varepsilon \right) = 0.$$

So, choosing $\varepsilon = 1/2$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P} \left(\frac{X_1 + \cdots + X_n}{n} > 1 \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P} \left(\frac{X_1 + \cdots + X_n}{n} - \frac{1}{2} > \frac{1}{2} \right) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P} \left(\left| \frac{X_1 + \cdots + X_n}{n} - \frac{1}{2} \right| > \frac{1}{2} \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P} \left(\frac{X_1 + \cdots + X_n}{n} > 1 \right) = 0$.

We now write

$$Y_n = \frac{X_1^2 + \cdots + X_n^2}{X_1 + \cdots + X_n} = \frac{X_1^2 + \cdots + X_n^2}{n} \frac{n}{X_1 + \cdots + X_n}.$$

From the Strong Law of Large numbers, $\frac{X_1^2 + \cdots + X_n^2}{n}$ converges almost surely to $\mathbb{E}X_1^2 = \text{var}(X_1) + (\mathbb{E}X_1)^2 = 3/4 + 1/4 = 1$. (Note that X_1^2, X_2^2, \dots are independent, identically distributed since X_1, X_2, \dots are as well. For example, $\mathbf{P}(X_i^2 \leq t) = \mathbf{P}(X_i \leq \sqrt{t}) = \mathbf{P}(X_1 \leq \sqrt{t}) = \mathbf{P}(X_1^2 \leq t)$ for any $i \geq 1, t > 0$.) Also, $\frac{X_1 + \cdots + X_n}{n}$ converges almost surely to $\mathbb{E}X_1 = 1/2$. That is, with probability 1, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{X_1 + \cdots + X_n}{n} = 1/2$. So, applying limit laws, with probability 1, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{X_1 + \cdots + X_n}{n} = 2$.

In summary, on a set $A \subseteq \Omega$ with $\mathbf{P}(A) = 1$, for all $\omega \in B$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{X_1(\omega) + \cdots + X_n(\omega)} = 2$.

And on a set $B \subseteq \Omega$ with $\mathbf{P}(B) = 1$, for all $\omega \in B$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{X_1^2(\omega) + \cdots + X_n^2(\omega)}{X_1(\omega) + \cdots + X_n(\omega)} = 1$. Note that $\mathbf{P}(A \cap B) + \mathbf{P}(A \setminus B) + \mathbf{P}(B \setminus A) + \mathbf{P}((A \cup B)^c) = 1$ and $\mathbf{P}(A \setminus B) \leq \mathbf{P}(B^c) = 1 - \mathbf{P}(B) = 0$, $\mathbf{P}(B \setminus A) \leq \mathbf{P}(A^c) = 1 - \mathbf{P}(A) = 0$, and $\mathbf{P}((A \cup B)^c) \leq \mathbf{P}(B^c) = 1 - \mathbf{P}(B) = 0$. Therefore, $\mathbf{P}(A \cap B) = 1$. So, on the set $A \cap B$, using the product limit law, for all $\omega \in A \cap B$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{X_1^2(\omega) + \cdots + X_n^2(\omega)}{X_1(\omega) + \cdots + X_n(\omega)} = \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{X_1^2(\omega) + \cdots + X_n^2(\omega)}{n} \right) \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{X_1(\omega) + \cdots + X_n(\omega)} \right) = 1 \cdot 2 = 2.$$

8. QUESTION 8

Let X_1, X_2, \dots be a Bernoulli process with parameter $p = 1/2$. Define $N := \min\{n \geq 1: X_n \neq X_1\}$. For any $n \geq 1$, define $Y_n := X_{N+n-2}$. Show that $\mathbf{P}(Y_n = 1) = 1/2$ for all $n \geq 1$, but Y_1, Y_2, \dots is not a Bernoulli process.

Solution. Since X_1, X_2, \dots are independent, identically distributed random variables, $N-1$ is a geometric random variable. Let $n \geq 3$. By the Total Probability Theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}(Y_n = 1) &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}(Y_n = 1 | N-1 = m) \mathbf{P}(N-1 = m) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}(X_{m+n-1} = 1 | N-1 = m) (1-p)^{m-1} p \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}(X_{m+n-1} = 1 | X_2 \neq X_1, \dots, X_{m+1} \neq X_1, X_{m+2} = X_1) (1-p)^{m-1} p \end{aligned}$$

If $n > 3$, then independence of X_1, X_2, \dots says

$$\mathbf{P}(X_{m+n-1} = 1 | X_2 \neq X_1, \dots, X_{m+1} \neq X_1, X_{m+2} = X_1) = \mathbf{P}(X_{m+n-2} = 1) = p.$$

If $n = 3$, then

$$\mathbf{P}(X_{m+n-1} = 1 | X_2 \neq X_1, \dots, X_{m+1} \neq X_1, X_{m+2} = X_1) = \mathbf{P}(X_{m+2} = 1 | X_{m+2} = X_1) = p.$$

So, for any $n \geq 3$,

$$\mathbf{P}(Y_n = 1) = p \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} p(1-p)^{m-1} = p = 1/2.$$

If $n = 1$, then $Y_n = X_{N-1} = X_1$ by definition of N , so $\mathbf{P}(Y_n = 1) = p = 1/2$.

If $n = 2$, then $Y_n = X_N = 1 - X_1$, by definition of n , so $\mathbf{P}(Y_n = 1) = 1 - p = 1/2$. Also, since $Y_1 + Y_2 = 1$, $\mathbb{E}Y_1Y_2 = \mathbb{E}Y_1(1 - Y_1) = p - 1 \neq \mathbb{E}Y_1\mathbb{E}Y_2 = p^2$, so Y_1, Y_2 are not independent, so Y_1, Y_2, \dots is not a Bernoulli process.

9. QUESTION 9

Let X be a random variable such that $|X| \leq 1$, $X \leq 1/2$ and $\mathbb{E}X = 0$.

Is it true that $\mathbb{E}(X^2) \leq 1/4$?

If this inequality is true, prove it. If this inequality is false, provide a counterexample, and justify your reasoning.

Solution. This inequality is false. Let X so that $\mathbf{P}(X = 1/2) = 2/3$ and $\mathbf{P}(X = -1) = 1/3$. Then $|X| \leq 1$, $X \leq 1/2$, and $\mathbb{E}X = (2/3)(1/2) - (1/3) = 0$. But $\mathbb{E}X^2 = (1/3)(-1)^2 + (2/3)(1/2)^2 = 1/3 + 1/6 = 1/2 > 1/4$.

10. QUESTION 10

Let $X_0 := x_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let X_1, X_2, \dots be independent random variables such that $\mathbf{P}(X_n = 1) = \mathbf{P}(X_n = -1) = 1/2$ for all $n \geq 1$. Let S_0, S_1, \dots be the corresponding random walk started at x_0 . Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a < x_0 < b$. Let $T := \min\{n \geq 1 : S_n \in \{a, b\}\}$. Show:

$$\mathbf{P}(S_T = a) = \frac{x_0 - b}{a - b}.$$

(You may assume that $\mathbf{P}(T < \infty) = 1$.)

Solution. We claim that T is a stopping time. For any positive integer n ,

$$\{T = n\} = \{S_0 \in \{a, b\}^c, \dots, S_{n-1} \in \{a, b\}^c, S_n \in \{a, b\}\}.$$

Also, $|S_{n \wedge T}| \leq \max(|a|, |b|)$, so the Optional Stopping Theorem, Version 2, applies. Let $c := \mathbf{P}(S_T = a)$. Then

$$x_0 = \mathbb{E}S_0 = \mathbb{E}S_T = ac + (1 - c)b.$$

Solving for c , we get

$$c = \frac{x_0 - b}{a - b}.$$